

Appendix

Proof of Lemma 2

Proof. Consider any reward function $w \in \mathbb{R}^K$, any player $i \in N$ and any pair of actions $x, y \in A_i$. We are given that σ matches the expected feature differences of $\tilde{\sigma}$. That is,

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] = \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] w_k = \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] w_k \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] w_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [f_i^k(y, a_{-i}) - f_i^k(x, a_{-i})] w_k \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] = \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [u_i(y, a_{-i}) - u_i(x, a_{-i})] = \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [u_i(y, a_{-i}) - u_i(x, a_{-i})] \quad (20)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_{a \sim \sigma} [\text{regret}_i(a, \text{switch}_i^{x \rightarrow y} | w)] = \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \tilde{\sigma}} [\text{regret}_i(a, \text{switch}_i^{x \rightarrow y} | w)] \quad (21)$$

$$\max_{y \in A_i} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \sigma} [\text{regret}_i(a, \text{switch}_i^{x \rightarrow y} | w)] = \max_{y \in A_i} \mathbb{E}_{a \sim \tilde{\sigma}} [\text{regret}_i(a, \text{switch}_i^{x \rightarrow y} | w)] \quad (22)$$

$$r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \sigma, w) = r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \tilde{\sigma}, w) \quad (23)$$

$$\sum_{x \in A_i} r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \sigma, w) = \sum_{x \in A_i} r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \tilde{\sigma}, w) \quad (24)$$

$$R_i^{\text{swap}}(\sigma | w) = R_i^{\text{swap}}(\tilde{\sigma} | w) \quad (25)$$

□

Proof of Lemma 3

Proof. For any $i \in N, x \in A_i$ and $w \in \mathcal{R}^k$, let $y' \in A_i$ be an argument that maximizes

$$\max_{y' \in A_i} \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle]. \quad (26)$$

If $w = 0$, choose $\beta = 1$, otherwise choose β to be the argument that maximizes

$$\max\{\beta : \beta > 0, \forall k, -1 \leq \beta w_k \leq 1\} \quad (27)$$

and let $w' = \beta w$. By construction, w' can be written as a convex combination of the points in $\mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})$. That is,

$$w' = \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})} \alpha_j v_j \quad (28)$$

for some $\alpha \geq 0$, $\sum \alpha_j = 1$. Thus, for any $y \in A_i$ we have

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w' \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \quad (29)$$

$$= \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \quad (30)$$

$$= \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) \left[\left\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i}), \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})} \alpha_j v_j \right\rangle \right] \quad (31)$$

$$= \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})} \alpha_j \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle (f_i(y, a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i})), v_j \rangle] \quad (32)$$

$$\leq \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})} \alpha_j \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle (f_i(y', a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i})), v_j \rangle] \quad (33)$$

$$= \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) \left[\left\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i}), \sum_{v_j \in \mathcal{K}_i(x, y' | F, \tilde{\sigma})} \alpha_j v_j \right\rangle \right] \quad (34)$$

$$= \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}) - f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \quad (35)$$

$$= \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}), w' \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \quad (36)$$

Dividing both sides by β , we get the above inequality in terms of w .

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w' \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \leq \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}), w' \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w' \rangle] \quad (37)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) \left[\left\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), \frac{w'}{\beta} \right\rangle - \left\langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), \frac{w'}{\beta} \right\rangle \right] \leq \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) \left[\left\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}), \frac{w'}{\beta} \right\rangle - \left\langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), \frac{w'}{\beta} \right\rangle \right] \quad (38)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] \leq \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y', a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] \quad (39)$$

$$\sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [u_i(y, a_{-i} | w) - u_i(x, a_{-i} | w)] \leq \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i} | w) [u_i(y', a_{-i} | w) - u_i(x, a_{-i} | w)] \quad (40)$$

In particular, this holds for the maximum over $y \in A_i$

$$\max_{y \in A_i} \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [u_i(y, a_{-i} | w) - u_i(x, a_{-i} | w)] \leq \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [u_i(y', a_{-i} | w) - u_i(x, a_{-i} | w)] \quad (41)$$

$$r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \sigma, w) \leq r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \tilde{\sigma}, w) \quad (42)$$

$$\sum_{x \in A_i} r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \sigma, w) \leq \sum_{x \in A_i} r_i^{\text{internal}}(x | \tilde{\sigma}, w) \quad (43)$$

$$R_i^{\text{swap}}(\sigma | w) \leq R_i^{\text{swap}}(\tilde{\sigma} | w) \quad (44)$$

□

Computing w for MaxEntICE-ExpGrad

Given σ , we wish to compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{argmax}_w \max_{i \in N} \max_{x, y \in A_i} \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] - \\ & \max_{i \in N} \max_{x, y \in A_i} \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \tilde{\sigma}(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] \\ & \text{subject to: } -1 \leq w \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

By trying all i, x, y possibilities for the first maximization and all i', x', y' possibilities for the second maximization, we can solve for w using the following series of linear programs.

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_w \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] - \\ & \sum_{a_{-i'} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i'}} \tilde{\sigma}(x', a_{-i'}) [\langle f_{i'}(y', a_{-i'}), w \rangle - \langle f_{i'}(x', a_{-i'}), w \rangle] \\ & \text{subject to: } \sum_{a_{-i} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i}} \sigma(x, a_{-i}) [\langle f_i(y, a_{-i}), w \rangle - \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle] \geq \\ & \sum_{a_{-i''} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i''}} \tilde{\sigma}(x'', a_{-i''}) [\langle f_{i''}(y', a_{-i''}), w \rangle - \langle f_{i''}(x', a_{-i''}), w \rangle], \text{ and} \\ & \sum_{a_{-i'} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i'}} \tilde{\sigma}(x', a_{-i'}) [\langle f_{i'}(y', a_{-i'}), w \rangle - \langle f_{i'}(x', a_{-i'}), w \rangle] \geq \\ & \sum_{a_{-i''} \in \mathcal{A}_{-i''}} \tilde{\sigma}(x'', a_{-i''}) [\langle f_{i''}(y', a_{-i''}), w \rangle - \langle f_{i''}(x', a_{-i''}), w \rangle], \forall i'' \in N, x'', y'' \in A_{i''} \\ & -1 \leq w \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The w corresponding to the linear program with the highest optimal value is the w we seek.

Proof of Lemma 4

From [6], we have that Exponentiated Gradient Descent over the d -dimensional simplex has regret no more than $2G_\infty \sqrt{T \log d}$, where G_∞ is a bound on the infinity norm of the gradient with the appropriate choice of η . In our case, $d = A_{\max}^N$. It remains to show that $G_\infty = K$ is an appropriate bound on the gradient. A non-zero entry of the gradient on any iteration has the form

$$\partial \sigma_{x, a_{-i}} = \langle f_i(x, a_{-i}), w \rangle \leq \sum_{k=1}^K |f_i(x, a_{-i})| \leq K \quad (47)$$

The analysis of the running time is straightforward. On each iteration, we solve $(NA_{\max}^2)^2$ linear programs with K variables and $2NA_{\max}^2 + 2K$ constraints. Each constraint and computation of the gradient requires $O(A_{\max}^N K)$ work. Updating the weights and the policy requires $O(A^N)$ work. That is, the running time is $O(N^2 A_{\max}^{N+4} K \cdot \text{LP}(K, NA_{\max}^2 + K))$.